Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/630,091	KNUTH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ehud Gartenberg	3746	

All Participants:

(1) *Ehud Gartenberg*.

(2) *David Fronek*.

Status of Application: *Allowed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *3 November 2004*

Time: *2pm*

Type of Interview:
- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
20, 33

Prior art documents discussed:
Knuth 6298659

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Agreement was reached to file a terminal disclaimer to obviate a double patenting rejection in view of Knuth '659

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)